IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 471 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

MALI MAVJI CHATUR

Versus

MISTRY JAYANTILAL JAGJIVAN

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 471 of 1988

MR GAURANG H BHATT for Petitioner No. 1

NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 15/04/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr.Bhatt, learned advocate for the petitioner states that his client has already expired and in spite of various reminders he is not getting any instruction

from any of the heirs. He submitted that on 29th June, 2000, following order was passed by this Court:

"Shri Gaurang H.Bhatt, the learned advocate for the revision petitioner submits that the revision petitioner has expired. He, therefore, has to move appropriately for bringing on record the heirs and legal representatives of the petitioner. On that count, he seeks some time. S.O. to 13th July, 2000."

2) Mr.Bhatt submitted that it seems that the parties have settled the dispute. He submitted that as the sole petitioner has died and since heirs are not responding to him, this revision cannot be proceeded on merits, and this revision stands abated.

3) Accordingly, this Civil Revision Application is disposed of, as the same is abated. Rule is discharged. No costs. Interim relief, if any, stands vacated.

(P.B.Majmudar,J.)

/malek